

1. How often do you read issues of Poverty & Race that you receive?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Always | | 55.3% | 114 |
| Sometimes | | 43.2% | 89 |
| Never | | 1.5% | 3 |
| answered question | | | 206 |
| skipped question | | | 3 |



2. What percentage of the articles or content do you read?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| 100% | | 7.8% | 16 |
| 75% | | 43.2% | 89 |
| 50% | | 31.6% | 65 |
| 25% | | 14.6% | 30 |
| less than 10% | | 2.9% | 6 |
| answered question | | | 206 |
| skipped question | | | 3 |

3. How would you rate Poverty & Race in terms of:

| | Excellent | Good | Marginal to Poor | Rating Average | Response Count |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| The timeliness of articles? | 58.4% (115) | 41.1% (81) | 0.5% (1) | 2.58 | 197 |
| The importance or innovative nature of ideas discussed? | 61.8% (123) | 38.2% (76) | 0.0% (0) | 2.62 | 199 |
| Information about new social science research? | 53.1% (104) | 45.4% (89) | 1.5% (3) | 2.52 | 196 |
| Layout and design? | 21.1% (42) | 66.3% (132) | 12.6% (25) | 2.09 | 199 |
| Usefulness overall? | 51.3% (99) | 47.2% (91) | 1.6% (3) | 2.50 | 193 |
| answered question | | | | | 203 |
| skipped question | | | | | 6 |

4. Have you ever listed or obtained an item in the Resources Section of Poverty & Race

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| Yes |  | 47.1% | 97 |
| No |  | 52.9% | 109 |
| answered question | | | 206 |
| skipped question | | | 3 |

5. How useful do you find the Resources Section overall?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Very useful | | 37.5% | 72 |
| Moderately useful | | 56.3% | 108 |
| Not useful | | 6.8% | 13 |
| answered question | | | 192 |
| skipped question | | | 17 |




6. Do you pass your copy of Poverty & Race on to others? If so, how many others?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|---|--|------------------|----------------|
| No, I don't pass it on to others | | 47.7% | 94 |
| I pass it on to 1-2 other people | | 37.1% | 73 |
| I pass it on to 3-9 other people | | 11.7% | 23 |
| I pass it on to 10 or more other people | | 3.6% | 7 |
| answered question | | | 197 |
| skipped question | | | 12 |













7. Do you ever read or access Poverty & Race on-line, via PRRAC's website?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Yes | | 45.5% | 91 |
| No | | 54.5% | 109 |
| answered question | | | 200 |
| skipped question | | | 9 |

8. Would you prefer to receive P&R in electronic format, or in hard copy?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Electronic |  | 51.0% | 102 |
| Hard Copy |  | 19.0% | 38 |
| Both electronic and hard copy |  | 30.0% | 60 |
| | | answered question | 200 |
| | | skipped question | 9 |





9. What kinds of articles do you most like to read in P&R? (check as many as apply):

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|
| Civil rights history |  | 60.6% | 120 |
| Social theory |  | 49.0% | 97 |
| Population trends |  | 52.0% | 103 |
| Critical race theory |  | 52.0% | 103 |
| Housing policy |  | 73.2% | 145 |
| Education policy |  | 55.1% | 109 |
| Health policy |  | 41.4% | 82 |
| Civil rights law |  | 60.1% | 119 |
| Legal theory |  | 33.8% | 67 |
| Social science research synopses |  | 60.6% | 120 |
| Personal stories |  | 20.2% | 40 |
| Summaries of new books in the field |  | 39.4% | 78 |
| | Other (please specify) | | 4 |
| | | answered question | 198 |
| | | skipped question | 11 |









10. What suggestions do you have for improving Poverty & Race?

| | Response Count |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| | 45 |
| answered question | 45 |
| skipped question | 164 |

11. What is your age range?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| 20-34 |  | 10.6% | 21 |
| 35-44 |  | 17.2% | 34 |
| 45-59 |  | 46.0% | 91 |
| 60 plus |  | 26.3% | 52 |
| answered question | | | 198 |
| skipped question | | | 11 |

12. Please select the box that best describes your occupation:

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| Lawyer/Legal Advocate |  | 29.6% | 48 |
| Advocate |  | 22.8% | 37 |
| Government official |  | 6.8% | 11 |
| Academia |  | 32.1% | 52 |
| Philanthropy |  | 4.3% | 7 |
| Business |  | 1.9% | 3 |
| Journalism |  | 1.2% | 2 |
| Student |  | 1.2% | 2 |
| Other (please specify) | | | 45 |
| answered question | | | 162 |
| skipped question | | | 47 |

Page 2, Q9. What kinds of articles do you most like to read in P&R? (check as many as apply):

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Gender Equality | Feb 28, 2012 1:05 PM |
| 2 | Varies over time. | Feb 28, 2012 11:23 AM |
| 3 | Almost everything! | Feb 28, 2012 10:36 AM |
| 4 | labor and immigrant issues | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |

Page 2, Q10. What suggestions do you have for improving Poverty & Race?

| | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | Can't think of any right now | Mar 2, 2012 6:37 PM |
| 2 | Are you on Facebook? | Mar 2, 2012 4:34 PM |
| 3 | I would like to receive | Mar 1, 2012 1:01 PM |
| 4 | I did not respond much to Question # 3, because there is enormous variation in the quality of the articles, and in some cases, when PRRAC goes beyond the area of its specialized expertise, it can be quite off the mark. I am thinking particularly about an article with which you led a couple of months ago on unions, in which the author took a partisan, uninformed, and in my view, wrongheaded stance regarding the dispute between HERE and SEIU. In contrast, some of the articles are brilliant. I am particularly thinking of the work of Mohl quite a while back on transportation, or the short synopsis of her book by Beryl Satter. I also particularly appreciate your ongoing tracking of reparations discussions, and your resources section. And a couple of weeks ago your instant notification of the St. Paul withdrawal of its Manger appeal was very timely and helpful. I would not have known about this otherwise. There are many other valuable examples I could cite. Keep up the good work. | Feb 29, 2012 2:27 PM |
| 5 | I enjoy reading the publication. | Feb 29, 2012 12:11 PM |
| 6 | More visuals. | Feb 29, 2012 12:07 PM |
| 7 | More health info | Feb 29, 2012 8:59 AM |
| 8 | NONE | Feb 29, 2012 5:25 AM |
| 9 | more on use of human rights as an organizing & legal strategy vis a vis economic as well as social & civil rights (& the rest of the package too), given its under-use in the US more on links across issues, conceptually, empirically, & practically (e.g., health AND housing AND neighborhood conditions AND education AND regional economies AND inequities in all these arenas ...) more on transformative initiatives that are making a difference in people's daily lives, addressing & rectifying discrimination & impoverishment & lousy conditions at work & in the environment/ecosystem | Feb 28, 2012 11:59 PM |
| 10 | It's very interesting but the articles are too long. I am afraid to read it because it takes too much of my time, once I start. I would like a summary with references. I would like to see a newsletter format. | Feb 28, 2012 11:28 PM |
| 11 | I think the recent issues of P&R have been excellent. I suppose things can always be improved, but I find it very useful, as is. | Feb 28, 2012 7:12 PM |
| 12 | Keep doing what you are doing. | Feb 28, 2012 6:26 PM |
| 13 | It would be interesting to have one or two of the articles profiled be offered in a live webinar to go deeper or to better understand the theories or data points. That would make the information more lively. Also, I think you may be missing out on organizing the talent that you may only see as "readers". | Feb 28, 2012 3:39 PM |
| 14 | Nothing | Feb 28, 2012 1:40 PM |
| 15 | I can't quite put my finger on why, but I really love this newsletter. I actually read | Feb 28, 2012 1:40 PM |

Page 2, Q10. What suggestions do you have for improving Poverty & Race?

it. It helps a lot that it is in hard copy. As crude as this sounds, it is perfect toilet reading - intellectually engaging without being taxing. I think my favorite thing about the newsletter- what it does best, in my eyes- is that it distills and highlights key ideas about race, poverty, and law. john powell's discussion of public/private and that wonderful piece about the complexities and economics of white flight in the 1960s (written by the woman whose father had resisted white flight and held onto property in a transitioning, South Side chicago neighborhood). Those are some of the stories that have really stuck with me. They highlighted just a few really important, relevant, research-informed ideas that I want to know as a social scientist who studies race, law and inequality. The front-pages stories do tend to seem a little, well, old-school civil rights-- meaning, focused on struggles over housing and rights in the 1950s and 1960s. I get the impression that whoever is selecting those stories is very versed in and committed to those fundamental struggles. It seems that there are fewer stories on the current politics of law on race, "post-civil rights" issues, the contradictions between how law treats race and discrimination versus how social scientists understand these issues. Put differently, when I saw the cover of one of the last few issues, I thought: another cover story on the civil rights movement? (And I'm someone very committed to the cause!).

| | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 16 | Content is outstanding; a new online format might be helpful. | Feb 28, 2012 1:39 PM |
| 17 | None at this time. | Feb 28, 2012 1:05 PM |
| 18 | More emphasis on gender equality and discrimination issues | Feb 28, 2012 1:05 PM |
| 19 | If you go electronic, make sure the articles are easily downloadable or can be converted to a version suitable for printing. | Feb 28, 2012 12:33 PM |
| 20 | The content is great. The layout/appearance of the hard copy and/or web resources could be improved but, given the resources involved, not sure this is worth it. I'd rather have it be accessible to people than to have a fancy format. I always look forward to it as a timely resource for the issues that I'm interested in and issues that inform my work. | Feb 28, 2012 11:35 AM |
| 21 | put resource section on line so the links are clickable. | Feb 28, 2012 11:33 AM |
| 22 | A+ on content and reliability. Could stand some improvement in the looks department. | Feb 28, 2012 11:26 AM |
| 23 | I am satisfied | Feb 28, 2012 11:24 AM |
| 24 | Not sure. We're just so inundated with info and newsletters these days. I'd like to say I prefer the electronic copy, but the truth is that when I'm busy, I'm less likely to look through the e-version and then I'm less likely to go back to it. Not sure what to suggest. | Feb 28, 2012 11:23 AM |
| 25 | Make the email format more conducive to reading....larger headlines, summaries of articles that allow you to click through to the article and your website. | Feb 28, 2012 11:21 AM |
| 26 | The more practical the better - we can get theory and stories elsewhere - we need tools, research reports in lay language, funding, etc. | Feb 28, 2012 11:10 AM |

Page 2, Q10. What suggestions do you have for improving Poverty & Race?

| | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 27 | Great articles and links to other resources. Thank you for keeping this issue in front of people! Its the only way we will do anything about it. janay | Feb 28, 2012 10:58 AM |
| 28 | maybe more of a "lay-person's"/non-academic summary of the content of articles with possible targeted/suggested audiences, i.e., "this information will be useful/relevant especially to those working with the homeless population", etc.; I don't know if that's possible... | Feb 28, 2012 10:53 AM |
| 29 | Keep it Coming | Feb 28, 2012 10:44 AM |
| 30 | None. | Feb 28, 2012 10:42 AM |
| 31 | More on poverty, the first word of the name | Feb 28, 2012 10:37 AM |
| 32 | It could use a facelift, to make it more visually appealing. | Feb 28, 2012 10:35 AM |
| 33 | Keep up the great job! | Feb 28, 2012 10:33 AM |
| 34 | I am a new reader so I cannot answer all of the questions in this survey. My office receives it and it is passed around to just about everyone--I estimate 12 people in the law & policy Depts. I was thrilled when I got it. It's a wonderful publication. But I have not read it enough to offer constructive criticism. | Feb 28, 2012 10:32 AM |
| 35 | It's really just fine. Present design dense, but I like it. If you have to go to a completely online status ... I could deal with that and more than likely I would pass along articles even more so. | Feb 28, 2012 10:32 AM |
| 36 | For me, the quality of the material and whether I learn something new matter more than the topic as such, within the broad areas of poverty and race. I'm not at a University and don't scan all the specialized journals but I want to keep up with major new findings and perspectives with implications for public policy. Poverty & Race provides a broad perspective that I appreciate. | Feb 28, 2012 10:31 AM |
| 37 | Your work is greatly appreciated! | Feb 28, 2012 10:26 AM |
| 38 | Your publication is unique. You should always look to improve, but not at the cost of losing that which separates your publication from so many others. | Feb 28, 2012 10:25 AM |
| 39 | Diversifying your staff and welcoming more perspectives from minority groups. | Feb 28, 2012 10:23 AM |
| 40 | more, more, more! | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |
| 41 | I love P&R. The reason why I want it on paper is because I often don't have time to read them when they come in, but I leave them in a pile so I can take some when I know I'll have reading time. Then I pass them on to my staff, and when they finally return, I put them in a binder. The only suggestion I have is to make the resources section more timely -- sometimes there's a great program or job, but the deadline or event is long past. Can you e-mail that section out every couple of weeks, or something like that? | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |
| 42 | Sometimes the mailed copy comes in torn. The resource items are often out of date. Sometimes the articles are a bit arcane. | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |
| 43 | You should probably think about doing face to face meetings as well. | Feb 28, 2012 10:13 AM |

Page 2, Q10. What suggestions do you have for improving Poverty & Race?

| | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 44 | I think it is just fine the way it is. | Feb 28, 2012 10:10 AM |
| 45 | I think Poverty & Race is great. I especially like when an issue features several articles on a theme. A thought about the resources section: maybe it would be more useful / readable if it featured fewer resources, with the complete list available on your website. Highlight brand new resources in different categories? Some of your all time favorites? Resources related specifically to the theme(s) of the issue? | Feb 25, 2012 10:55 AM |

Page 2, Q12. Please select the box that best describes your occupation:

| | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 1 | retired pastor | Mar 3, 2012 7:54 AM |
| 2 | intermediary group (research, documentation, training | Mar 2, 2012 11:56 AM |
| 3 | Policy Analyst | Mar 1, 2012 8:12 AM |
| 4 | Education Research and Policy | Mar 1, 2012 7:49 AM |
| 5 | Social science researcher | Mar 1, 2012 6:41 AM |
| 6 | Medical officer at CDC (not "govt official") | Feb 29, 2012 4:22 PM |
| 7 | community building | Feb 29, 2012 12:43 PM |
| 8 | Social Work and Community Organizer | Feb 29, 2012 9:25 AM |
| 9 | nonprofit | Feb 29, 2012 9:06 AM |
| 10 | Trainer/consultant | Feb 29, 2012 7:45 AM |
| 11 | retired | Feb 29, 2012 6:20 AM |
| 12 | Specifically: public health | Feb 28, 2012 11:59 PM |
| 13 | Policy research | Feb 28, 2012 7:53 PM |
| 14 | Retired housing advocate | Feb 28, 2012 7:12 PM |
| 15 | Social Policy Research | Feb 28, 2012 6:35 PM |
| 16 | School social worker | Feb 28, 2012 6:26 PM |
| 17 | Community organizer | Feb 28, 2012 5:35 PM |
| 18 | trade union | Feb 28, 2012 5:31 PM |
| 19 | K-12 education | Feb 28, 2012 2:45 PM |
| 20 | Public School Administrator | Feb 28, 2012 1:23 PM |
| 21 | Public Health Administrator | Feb 28, 2012 1:22 PM |
| 22 | Gender Equity Policy in Ed | Feb 28, 2012 1:05 PM |
| 23 | Education | Feb 28, 2012 12:38 PM |
| 24 | Physician | Feb 28, 2012 12:03 PM |
| 25 | Pastor | Feb 28, 2012 11:15 AM |
| 26 | retired consultant/advocate in affordable housing | Feb 28, 2012 11:10 AM |
| 27 | Non-profit executive | Feb 28, 2012 11:10 AM |

Page 2, Q12. Please select the box that best describes your occupation:

| | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 28 | paralegal in a Pro Bono Program | Feb 28, 2012 10:53 AM |
| 29 | Executive Director of nonprofit public housing residents group | Feb 28, 2012 10:45 AM |
| 30 | Business consultant and EPA Contractor | Feb 28, 2012 10:44 AM |
| 31 | Educational Support Personnel | Feb 28, 2012 10:42 AM |
| 32 | Retired | Feb 28, 2012 10:35 AM |
| 33 | Nonprofit providing history programming | Feb 28, 2012 10:33 AM |
| 34 | Independent scholar | Feb 28, 2012 10:33 AM |
| 35 | independent research consultant | Feb 28, 2012 10:33 AM |
| 36 | Psychologist active in Public Policy Reform at a non-profit law/policy org | Feb 28, 2012 10:32 AM |
| 37 | Public policy advocate | Feb 28, 2012 10:32 AM |
| 38 | Social Science Researcher | Feb 28, 2012 10:30 AM |
| 39 | Think tank | Feb 28, 2012 10:24 AM |
| 40 | independent socila scientist | Feb 28, 2012 10:18 AM |
| 41 | lawyer/legal advocate in an antipoverty agency. | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |
| 42 | Consultant | Feb 28, 2012 10:14 AM |
| 43 | Research/Evaluation | Feb 28, 2012 10:12 AM |
| 44 | retired | Feb 28, 2012 10:10 AM |
| 45 | Policy research, education rights think tank | Feb 28, 2012 10:10 AM |