PRRAC

Poverty & Race Research Action Council 3000 Connecticut Avenue NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20008 202/387-9887 Fax 202/387-0764

www.prrac.org

Outline of Opinion in Thompson v. HUD

[The purpose of this outline is to enable the reader to locate specific topics in the opinion, as the Court set them out and discussed them. The numbering and lettering is as it appears in the Court's Opinion without edit except for a couple of places where what appears to be the correct number or letter is put in ()]

	<u>Page</u>
Memorandum of Decision	1
I. Introduction	2
A. Background	2
B. Summary of Decision	8
C. Evidentary Principles	14
II. The Legal Framework	18
A. The Parties	18
1. Plaintiffs	18
2. Defendants	18
B. Plaintiffs Claims	19
1. Constitutional (Equal Protection) Claims	20
a. Intentional Discriminatory Actions	24
2. Duties Related to Past Discrimination	29
C. Statutory and Regulatory Claims	29
1. Fair Housing Act (Title VIII)	29
a. FHA Violations Alleged	29
(1) "Denial" of Housing [3604(a)]	30
(2) Housing Conditions & Services [3604(b)]	31
(3) Failure to Promote Fair Housing (3608)	32
b. Enforcement of Alleged FHA Violations	34
(1) 3604(a) and 3604(b)	34
(2) Section 3608	36
2. Title VI (Sec. 601)	37
3. U.S. Housing Act (USHA)	38
4. Housing and Community Development Act (HCDA)	39
D. Procedural Enforcement Mechanisms	40

1. Constitutional Claims	42
a. Local Defendants	42
b. Federal Defendants	43
2. Statutory Claims	44
a. Local Defendants	44
b. Federal Defendants	45
(1) Administrative Procedure Act (APA)	45
(a) Commitment to Agency Discretion	46
(b) Adequacy of Alternative Remedies	47
i)Title VIII	48
ii) Title VI	49
3. Available Enforcement Mechanisms	50
E. Limitations (Open Period)	51
1. Local Defendants	52
2. Federal Defendants	53
III. The Partial Consent Decree	55
A. Pertinent Terms of Decree	55
1. Limitations Defense Exception	57
2. Pattern & Practice Exception	60
IV. Resolution of Claims	62
A. Constitutional (Equal Protection) Claims	62
1. Violations During Schmoke Administration	62
a. Hollander Ridge Fence Incident	63
b. Demolition Without Replacement (DWR)	76
c. School 47 Decision	79
d. (4.) Tenant Assignment Policies	85
f. 1950 City Ordinance	98
(1) Affirmative Purposeful Discrimination	98
(2) Ordinance as Vestige	100
2. Duties Related to Past Discrimination	102
a. Historical Context	104
b. Policy justifications	106
(i) Revitalization	106
(ii) Maximizing Available Housing	107
(iii) Enabling Tenant Choice	109
c. Demographic Change Over Time	110

d. Impeding Desegregation (1) Tenant Assignment Policies (2) Regionalization e. Consent Decree Remedies f. Effectiveness of Defendants' Policies g. Fairness to Plaintiffs h. Conclusion	112 113 115 115 116 121 123
B. Statutory Claims	125
1. Title VI (sec. 601) 2. Title VIII (FHA) a. Denial of Housing b. Discrimination (i) Application of Clarkton Factors (a) Strength of Discriminatory Effect (b) Defendants' "Mens Rea" (c) Defendants Legitimate Interests (d) Burden on Defendant (ii) Conclusion c. Failure to Promote Fair Housing (3608) 1. The Regional Effects of Federal Defendants' Activities. 2. HUD's explanation for not requiring Regionalization	125 126 127 128 128 132 134 135 137 143 155
3. Enforcement through the APA4. Appropriate Remedial Action	158 159
V. Supplemental Findings	161
 A. Racial Segregation in Baltimore 1. Roots of Modern Public Housing 2. HUD's Policies and Racially Segregated Public Housing a. The Neighborhood Composition Rule b. HUD's First come, First Serve Tenant Selection Policy 3. HABC's Racially Identifiable Housing Projects 4. HABC's Public Housing Projects 1940-1954 5. HABC's Public Housing Projects Post 1955 	161 162 163 168 170 172 174 175
 B. Baltimore City's Public Housing Site Selection through WWII 1. Public Housing in the 1930's 2. The Redlining map and Restrictive Covenants 3. Long Term Effect of Early Policies 4. De Jure Segregated Projects 5. The Lanham Act 6. Baltimore's African American population - WWII 7. Housing Opportunities for African American's during WWII 	177 177 178 179 179 182 183 184

C. Baltimore City's Post WWII Public Housing Site Selection	188
1. Funding of Baltimore's Post-War Housing Plan	189
2. 1950 Ordinance	190
3. Baltimore's 1950's Projects	192
D. High Rise Developments	194
1. Segregation of High Rise Developments	196
1. Segregation of Tright Rise Developments	170
E. Re-segregation Steps in Baltimore	197
1. Shifting Demographics	199
2. Response to 1964 Civil Rights Act	200
3. HABC's "Three choice" Tenant Assignment Plan	202
F. HABC Developments Beginning in Mid-1960s	202
1. McCulloh Extention	202
2. The Broadway	204
3. Mt. Winans	204
4. Oswego Mall	205
5. Somerset Mall	207
6. Rosemont	208
a. Hilton Portion of Rosemont Package	209
b. Upton Area	210
7. Hollander Ridge	212
a. HUD's concerns with Hollander Ridge	213
b. Opposition in Rosedale to Hollander Ridge site	215
8. Charles K. Anderson Village	215
G. Section 23 Leased Housing Program	217
H. Scattered Site Developments	220
1. MD2-24	223
2. MD2-76	223
3. Additional Scattered Site Developments	225
4. MD2-72	227
5. Project Uplift	228
6. Fairfield Replacement	232
7.HUD Reservation of Additional Housing	235
8. HABC & HUD's Collaborative Effort on Site Proposals	238
9. School 47	241
10. West Preston St.	243
11. Acceptable Replacement Sites	244
12. Hamilton	248
13. Other Non-Impacted Areas	250
14. HABC & Non-Impacted Areas	254
I. HUD Policies	262

1. Project Selection Criteria	262
2. Site and Neighborhood Standards	263
J. HUD Concentration of Efforts in Baltimore City	264
K. HUD Mandating Compliance	271
1. HUD's Monitoring Reviews in 1980s	273
2. HUD's Written Action Plan Requirements	275
3. Analysis of Impediments	276
4. HUD's Ongoing Monitoring Activities	277
5. HUD Title VI Compliance Review of HABC	284
L. Demolition Without Replacement (DWR)	286
1. Demolition and Displacement Nationally	286
2. Situating the Baltimore Housing Market	288
3. HUD and Development Areas	292
4. HOPE VI and Hollander Ridge	295
5. Hollander Ridge Fence	297
6. HOPE VI Application for Hollander Ridge	300
7. Relocation of Hollander Ridge Residents	303
8. Demolition of Hollander Ridge	305
M. Further Demolition of Baltimore Public Housing Units	306
1. Cherry Hill	306
2. Charles K. Anderson	307
3. Emerson Julian Gardens	308
4. Claremont	308
5. O'Donnel Heights	309
N. Demolition of Scattered Sites	310
1. Demolition and Baltimore City Urban Renewal Plans	311
O. Section 8 Voucher Programs	312
() Conclusion	322

EKJ 1/05